

# Introduction to Mathematical Quantum Theory

## Solution to the Exercises

– 17.03.2020 –

Teacher: Prof. Chiara Saffirio

Assistent: Dr. Daniele Dimonte – [daniele.dimonte@unibas.ch](mailto:daniele.dimonte@unibas.ch)

### Exercise 1

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $V$  any closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ ; recall the definition of  $V^\perp$  as

$$V^\perp := \{f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle g, f \rangle = 0 \ \forall g \in V\}. \quad (1)$$

We saw in class that the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  can be decomposed as  $\mathcal{H} = V \oplus V^\perp$ , meaning that  $V \cap V^\perp = \{0\}$  and that for any non-zero  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists a unique element  $f_V \in V$  such that  $f - f_V \in V^\perp$ . Define  $P_V f := f_V$ ; from the uniqueness of  $f_V$  this is a well defined linear mapping.

**a** Prove that  $P_V^2 = P_V = P_V^*$ .

**b** Use **a** to prove that  $P_V$  is bounded and if  $V \neq \{0\}$  then  $\|P_V\| = 1$ .

**c** Prove that if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are two closed subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  then<sup>1</sup>

$$V_1 \perp V_2 \iff P_{V_1} P_{V_2} = 0. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* We first prove that  $P_V^2 = P_V$ . To prove this is enough to notice that if  $f \in V$  then  $P_V f = f$ . Indeed, let  $g := f - P_V f$ . Then by definition  $g \in V^\perp$ . On the other hand, both  $f$  and  $P_V f$  are in  $V$ , therefore  $g \in V \cap V^\perp = \{0\}$  and this implies  $P_V f = f$ . Now from the fact that  $P_V f \in V$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  we conclude that  $P_V^2 f = P_V f$ .

To prove that  $P_V^* = P_V$ , first notice that we have the trivial identity  $\text{id} = P_V + (\text{id} - P_V)$ . Moreover, by definition of  $P_V$  and from the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = V \oplus V^\perp$  we get that  $(\text{id} - P_V)(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq V^\perp$ . Consider now  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, P_V^* f \rangle &= \langle P_V g, f \rangle \\ &= \langle P_V g, P_V f \rangle + \langle P_V g, (\text{id} - P_V) f \rangle \\ &= \langle P_V g, P_V f \rangle \\ &= \langle g, P_V f \rangle - \langle (\text{id} - P_V) g, P_V f \rangle \\ &= \langle g, P_V f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that this is true for every  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$  we get that  $P_V^* = P_V$ .

To prove **b** for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &= \langle f, f \rangle \\ &= \langle P_V f, f \rangle + \langle (\text{id} - P_V) f, f \rangle \\ &= \langle P_V f, P_V f \rangle + \langle (\text{id} - P_V) f, (\text{id} - P_V) f \rangle \\ &= \|P_V f\|^2 + \|(\text{id} - P_V) f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We denote with  $\perp$  the condition of two subspaces of an Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of being orthogonal, i.e.,  $V_1$  is orthogonal to  $V_2$ , or  $V_1 \perp V_2$  if and only if for any  $(f, g) \in V_1 \times V_2$  we have  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ .

From this we can deduce that  $P_V$  is bounded and that  $\|P_V\| \leq 1$ . If  $V$  is non empty, let  $f \in V$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$ ; then  $\|P_V f\| = \|f\| = 1$  and this implies that  $\|P_V\| = 1$ .

To prove **c** first suppose  $V_1 \perp V_2$  and  $f \in V_2$ . By definition of  $P_{V_1}$  we have that  $f - P_{V_1} f \in V_1^\perp$ ; then we get that

$$P_{V_1} f = f - (f - P_{V_1} f) \in V_1 \cap V_1^\perp \Rightarrow P_{V_1} f = 0.$$

Consider now  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ; given that  $P_{V_2} f \in V_2$  we can deduce that  $P_{V_1} P_{V_2} = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $P_{V_1} P_{V_2} = 0$ . Consider now  $f \in V_1$ ,  $g \in V_2$ . Then we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle P_{V_1} f, P_{V_2} g \rangle = \langle f, P_{V_1} P_{V_2} g \rangle = 0.$$

Given that  $f$  and  $g$  were generic this implies that  $V_1 \perp V_2$ .

□

## Exercise 2

Let  $\phi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  differentiable functions on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , meaning that the limit

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \quad (3)$$

exists in the norm topology of  $\mathcal{H}$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and similarly for  $\psi(t)$ .

Prove that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \phi(t), \psi(t) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t), \psi(t) \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi(t), \frac{d\psi}{dt}(t) \right\rangle \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* First notice that (3) means that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) - \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \right\| = 0.$$

In particular this implies that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)\| \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |h| \left( \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) - \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \right\| + \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) \right\| \right) = 0,$$

and therefore  $\phi(t)$  is also continuous in the norm topology of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and similarly for  $\psi(t)$ .

Consider now (4); we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \phi(t), \psi(t) \rangle = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle \phi(t+h), \psi(t+h) \rangle - \langle \phi(t), \psi(t) \rangle}{h}.$$

The term inside the limit can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h} (\langle \phi(t+h), \psi(t+h) \rangle - \langle \phi(t), \psi(t) \rangle) = \\
&= \frac{1}{h} (\langle \phi(t+h) - \phi(t), \psi(t+h) \rangle + \langle \phi(t), \psi(t+h) - \psi(t) \rangle) \\
&= \left\langle \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h}, \psi(t+h) - \psi(t) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h}, \psi(t) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \left\langle \phi(t), \frac{\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)}{h} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We now study the limit of these three terms. The first one can be bound completely, so we can apply Cauchy-Schwarz to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \left\langle \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h}, \psi(t+h) - \psi(t) \right\rangle \right| &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \right\| \|\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)\| \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) \right\| \|\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term one can proceed as follows. Using the fact that  $\phi(t)$  is differentiable and applying Cauchy-Schwarz again we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \left\langle \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h}, \psi(t) \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t), \psi(t) \right\rangle \right| &\leq \\
&\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} - \frac{d\phi}{dt}(t) \right\| \|\psi(t)\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding similarly for the third term we get the result.

□

### Exercise 3

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Consider  $A$  and  $B$  bounded self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Prove that  $\frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, B]$  is self adjoint.

*Proof.* Recall that in the last exercise sheet we proved that  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$  and that  $(\alpha A)^* = \bar{\alpha}A^*$  for any  $A, B$  bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  and for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . We therefore get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, B] \right)^* &= -\frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, B]^* = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} (AB - BA)^* = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} (B^*A^* - A^*B^*) \\
&= -\frac{1}{i\hbar} (BA - AB) = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} [B, A] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [A, B].
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### Exercise 4

Consider a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  linear bounded operators on  $V$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- a** Prove that  $[A, B + \alpha C] = [A, B] + \alpha [A, C]$ .
- b** Prove that  $[B, A] = -[A, B]$ .
- c** Prove that  $[A, BC] = [A, B]C + B[A, C]$ .
- d** Prove that  $[A, [B, C]] = [[A, B], C] + [B, [A, C]]$ .

*Proof.* To prove **a** notice that

$$\begin{aligned}[A, B + \alpha C] &= A(B + \alpha C) - (B + \alpha C)A = AB - BA + \alpha AC - \alpha CA \\ &= [A, B] + \alpha [A, C].\end{aligned}$$

To prove **b** one can see that

$$[B, A] = BA - AB = -(AB - BA) = -[A, B].$$

To prove **c** we look at the right side to get

$$\begin{aligned}[A, B]C + B[A, C] &= (AB - BA)C + B(AC - CA) \\ &= ABC - BAC + BAC - BCA = [A, BC].\end{aligned}$$

To prove **d** we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] &= \\ &= A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A \\ &\quad + B(CA - AC) - (CA - AC)B \\ &\quad + C(AB - BA) - (AB - BA)C = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular

$$[A, [B, C]] = -[B, [C, A]] - [C, [A, B]] = [[A, B], C] + [B, [A, C]].$$

□